

on behalf of R. Wayne Pierce

From: "Kerksiek, Sanya" <SKerksiek@crowell.com>
To: "Wayne Pierce" <wpierce@adventurelaw.com>
Cc: "Sauers, William" <WSauers@crowell.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 1:05 PM
Subject: RE: CoStar v. Alliance

Thank you for your response. We will accordingly wait to hear from you regarding whether Pathfinder would like CoStar to re-file its motion to seal.

From: Wayne Pierce [mailto:wpierce@adventurelaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 12:59 PM
To: Kerksiek, Sanya
Subject: Re: CoStar v. Alliance

Yes. Coincidentally, I received an e-mail indicated that my client is out of the office and will not be available until sometime next week, so I will get back to you as soon as I can.

----- Original Message -----

From: [Kerksiek, Sanya](#)
To: [Wayne Pierce](#)
Cc: [Sauers, William](#)
Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 12:52 PM
Subject: CoStar v. Alliance

Wayne,

This e-mail follows up on our phone call today. We asked whether, in light of the court's denial of CoStar's motion to seal, Pathfinder would agree to lift the confidentiality designation of the testimony of Mr. Wu that is quoted in CoStar's motion to compel. If Pathfinder agrees, then CoStar would not re-file the motion to seal. If Pathfinder does not agree, then CoStar intends to re-file the motion to seal, which would indicate that the parties met and conferred, but that Pathfinder did not agree to lift the confidentiality designation. You represented that you would confer with your client and get back to us. We will await your response.

Regards,
Sanya

Sanya Sarich Kerksiek
Crowell & Moring LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20004-2595
Telephone: (202) 624-2862
Facsimile: (202) 628-5116
Email: skerksiek@crowell.com